

Determination of risk in SARS-CoV-2 infection SARS-CoV-2 enfeksiyonunda risk belirlenmesi

Abdullah Algin ¹ , Abuzer Ozkan ¹ ¹ Department of Emergency Medicine, University of Health Sciences Umraniye Training and Research Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey	Correspondence: Abuzer Ozkan Department of Emergency Medicine, University of Health Sciences Umraniye Training and Research Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey e-mail: ebuzerozkan@gmail.com
Submitted Date: 14 August 2022, Accepted Date: 25 October 2022	ORCID ID: AA 0000-0002-9016-9701 AO 0000-0003-4284-0086

Dear Editor,

SARS-CoV-2 was first identified at the end of 2019. A pandemic was declared by the World Health Organization (WHO) on March 11, 2020. The additional burden of the pandemic on the health system around the world has affected the social, economic and health behaviors of the society (1). Risk scores were developed for the effective use of the health system and the recognition of the disease by the clinician (2). In this article, we planned a brief overview of the new scoring systems developed.

Demographic data and laboratory parameters were sought first to determine the risk. Advanced age, lymphopenia, D-dimer, ferritin, C-reactive protein was defined as independent variables for poor outcome (3). Weng et al. developed the ANDC score based on age, lymphopenia, D-dimer, ferritin, C-reactive protein using the LASSO analysis method. They reported the ANDC score as a good predictor of short-term mortality with the area under the curve of 0.921 (4).

MuLBSTA score was developed by Guo et al. for predicting mortality risk in patients with viral pneumonia in December 2019. This score is based on multilobar infiltration, lymphopenia, bacterial coinfection, smoking history, hypertension, and age. Guo et al. reported that this score has a strong predictive ability for 90-day mortality in patients with viral pneumonia (5). García Clemente et al. tested the ability of MuLBSTA score to predict intensive care unit (ICU) admission in patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection and showed that this score is a good predictor of ICU admission (6).

Fumagalli et al. retrospectively analyzed data from 512 patients to develop a clinical risk score to predict inhospital mortality in patients infected with SARS-CoV-2. According to the results of their study, tertiles of increasing age, number of chronic diseases, respiratory rate, PaO2/FiO2, serum creatinine and platelet count were found as

predictors of mortality. Based on these variables, they defined the COVID-19MRS score as a predictor of inhospital mortality with the area under the curve of 0.921 (7).

Torres-Macho et al. retrospectively evaluated the data of 1968 patients to develop a model that could predict inhospital mortality. As a result of the study, they named the score that calculated using age, oxygen saturation, smoking, serum creatinine, lymphocytes, hemoglobin, platelets, C-reactive protein, and sodium as PANDEMYC score. PANDEMYC score was reported as easily applicable predictive model for in hospital mortality with the area under the curve of 0.865 (8).

As a result, the researchers developed scores such as the ANDC score, MuLBSTA Score, COVID-19MRS score, and PANDEMYC score to contribute to the management of the pandemic. However, the changes in virulence of SARS-CoV-2 with the mutations, the increase in the experience of clinicians and the widespread usage of Covid-19 vaccination and immunization cause these scoring systems to be questioned. As the authors, we believe that these scores, which were developed in the early period of the pandemic, should be revalidated in the current situation.

Author Contributions: Working Concept/Design: AA, AO Data Collection: AA, AO, Data Analysis/Interpretation: AA, AO, Text Draft: AA, AO, Critical Review of Content: AA, AO, Final Approval and Responsibility: AA, AO, Material and technical support: AA, AO,

Conflict of Interest: The authors state that there is no conflict of interest regarding this manuscript.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study has received no financial support.

REFERENCES

1. Özdemir S, Algın A. How will the Health Literacy and Crowded Emergency Room Be Affected After the COVID-19 Pandemic?. Phnx Med J. 2021;3(1):50-50.

2. Akça HŞ, Algın A, Özdemir S, Sevimli H, Kokulu K, Eroğlu SE. Comparison of the efficacy of PSI, CURB-65, CALL and BCRSS in predicting prognosis and mortality in COVID-19 patients. J Exp Clin Med. 2021;38(4):434-439.

3. Özdemir S, Eroğlu SE, Algın A, Akca HS, Ozkan A, Pala E, et al. Analysis of laboratory parameters in patients with COVID-19: Experiences from a pandemic hospital. Ann Clin Anal Med. 2021;12:518-23.

4. Weng Z, Chen Q, Li S, Li H, Zhang Q, Lu S, et al. ANDC: an early warning score to predict mortality risk for patients with Coronavirus Disease 2019. J Transl Med. 2020;18:328. 5. Guo L, Wei D, Zhang X, Wu Y, Li Q, Zhou M, et al Clinical Features Predicting Mortality Risk in Patients with Viral Pneumonia: The MuLBSTA Score. Front Microbiol. 2019 Dec 3;10:2752. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.02752. Erratum in: Front Microbiol. 2020 Jun 09;11:1304.

6. García Clemente MM, Herrero Huertas J, Fernández Fernández A, De La Escosura Muñoz C, Enríquez Rodríguez AI, Pérez Martínez L, et al. Assessment of risk scores in Covid-19. Int J Clin Pract. 2021;75(12):e13705.

7. Fumagalli C, Rozzini R, Vannini M, Coccia F, Cesaroni G, Mazzeo F, et al. Clinical risk score to predict in-hospital mortality in COVID-19 patients: a retrospective cohort study. BMJ Open. 2020:25;10(9):e040729.

8. Torres-Macho J, Ryan P, Valencia J, Pérez-Butragueño M, Jiménez E, Fontán-Vela M, et al. The PANDEMYC Score. An Easily Applicable and Interpretable Model for Predicting Mortality Associated With COVID-19. J Clin Med. 2020:23;9(10):3066.